UMPIRE OUTDOOR ASSESSMENT: REGIONAL

FIELD HOCKEY CANADA

Passed ☐ **Coached** ☐

Assessment 1 ☐ **Assessment 2** ☐

Passing Qualifications:

Must have 15 Fully Achieved in any category.
And have 6 Half-way Achieved in any category.

Name:		**Email:**	
Address:			
Assn./Club:		**Date:**	
Match:	**vs.**	**Event:**	
Field:		**Colleague:**	

Overall Action Plan:

Assessor:		**Rating:** Regional	**Date:**
Signature:		**Candidate Signature:**	
Distribution:	Umpire → PSO → FHC		

	Fully Achieved	Half-way Achieved	Not Yet Achieved
Preparation	☐ Did the umpire arrive in sufficient time to prepare properly? ☐ Was the umpire wearing appropriate clothing? ☐ Did the umpire have the necessary equipment? ☐ Did the umpire carry out the relevant pre-match checks?	☐ Did the umpire arrive in sufficient time to prepare properly? ☐ Was the umpire wearing appropriate clothing? ☐ Did the umpire have the necessary equipment? ☐ Did the umpire carry out the relevant pre-match checks?	☐ Did the umpire arrive in sufficient time to prepare properly? ☐ Was the umpire wearing appropriate clothing? ☐ Did the umpire have the necessary equipment? ☐ Did the umpire carry out the relevant pre-match checks?
Communication and Presentation	☐ Clear whistle volume ☐ Clear signalling of calls ☐ Verbal communication of decisions ☐ Shows good proximity to play ☐ Clear understanding of where to stand on the field especially in circle	☐ Inconsistent whistle volume ☐ Some clear signalling of calls ☐ Attempts at verbal communication ☐ Inconsistent proximity to play ☐ Some understanding of where to stand on the field especially in circle	☐ Inaudible whistle volume ☐ Unclear signalling of calls ☐ No verbal communication ☐ Poor proximity to play ☐ No understanding of where to stand on the field especially in circle
Big Decisions	☐ Clear technical consistency of awarding penalty corners and penalty strokes ☐ Effective communication and 'selling of the decision'	☐ Some technical consistency of awarding penalty corners and penalty strokes ☐ Some communication and 'selling of the decision'	☐ No technical consistency of awarding penalty corners and penalty strokes ☐ No communication and 'selling of the decision'
Decision Making	☐ Clear understanding of rules and interpretations and consistency ☐ Shows consistent understanding and application of obstruction and dangerous play	☐ Understanding of rules and interpretations, but inconsistent ☐ Shows inconsistent understanding and application of obstruction and dangerous play	☐ No understanding of rules and interpretations and inconsistent ☐ Inconsistent understanding and application of obstruction and dangerous play
Flow and Timing	☐ Consistent understanding to create flow; when to blow v not ☐ Anticipation to play mostly consistent	☐ Some understanding to create flow; when to blow v not ☐ Anticipation to play inconsistent	☐ No understanding to create flow ☐ No anticipation to play
Game Management	☐ Positive and cooperative attitude towards others ☐ Effective use of interpersonal skills to deal with on/off field situations; rapport ☐ Good feel for the game; appropriate use of all tools to control game, proactive prevention measures, progressive penalties, competently manages situations of conflict involving dangerous play, dissent, and/or misconduct	☐ Some positive and cooperative attitude towards others ☐ Attempts of interpersonal skills to deal with on/off field situations; rapport ☐ Inconsistent feel for the game; appropriate use of all tools to control game, proactive prevention measures, progressive penalties, competently manages situations of conflict involving dangerous play, dissent, and/or misconduct	☐ Does not cooperate with others ☐ Attempts of interpersonal skills to deal with on/off field situations; rapport ☐ No feel for the game
Cooperation With Colleague	☐ Clear evidence of pre-match chat with colleague ☐ Clearly working together to get the right decision ☐ Shows consistency at both ends of the pitch for the whole match	☐ Some evidence of pre-match chat with colleague ☐ Unclear working together to get the right decision ☐ Inconsistency at both ends of the pitch for the whole match	☐ No evidence of pre-match chat with colleague ☐ Not working together to get the right decision ☐ No consistency at both ends of the pitch for the whole match

FIELD HOCKEY CANADA